

Thoughts on the Planning White Paper

Graham Biggs MBE, Chief Executive, Rural Services Network

Overall Thoughts on the Planning for the Future White Paper

- We fundamentally disagree with the principles of the White Paper
- It is scant on detail and feels more like a Green (discussion) Paper
- Rural has been overlooked thus far
- Rural areas could easily suffer from the "unforeseen consequences" that are almost inevitable in big system change
- The RSN is keen to offer its services to Government to set up a group to review and "rural proof" the detail of the policy in the next phase



Pillar One: Planning for Development A New Approach to Plan Making

Zoning and its impact on communities

- Sustainable development should be promoted in all areas: growth, renewal and protected
- Sustainability appraisal should be retained not narrowed
- Need for more incentives and sanctions to ensure developers deliver homes required
- National Planning Guidance must be framed to support not restrict appropriate development
- Housing Needs Assessment methodology should be assessed against sustainable development criteria
- LAs should be able to allocate Local Plan Community Priority Sites
- Specific mention should be made to National Parks as protected areas



Pillar One: Planning for Development A New Approach to Plan Making

Democratic deficit

- LAs should be properly resourced to effectively engage using a mixture of digital and face to face techniques
- 6 month period for stage 1 preparation should be extended to 18 months
- Any piloting should include at least one remote rural authority area
- Submission of plans to Secretary of State should only happen once local communities have had the chance to comment
- Examination process should be a public, transparent and open discourse
- A longer time period is needed to achieve this



Pillar One: Planning for Development A New Approach to Plan Making

Neighbourhood planning

- Neighbourhood Plans should retain their current purpose and functions
- They should be able to zone land
- Allocate community priority sites
- Include DM policies specific to their Neighbourhood area
- Planning decisions meet these policies
- Neighbourhood Planning should be properly resourced



Pillar One: Planning for Development A New Approach to Plan Making

Speeding up the delivery of development

- Clarity is needed on how delivery will be speeded up
- There are no proposals outlining how to penalise/incentivise developers to build-out sites
- It is difficult to see what levers can be used



Pillar Two: Planning for beautiful and sustainable places

Design codes

- Not just about aesthetics, should include all ten characteristics that make for a well-designed place
- Chief officer should be responsible for sustainable development
- Net zero carbon emissions equally important as beauty
- Sustainability Appraisal should be retained rather than narrowed



Pillar 3: Planning for Infrastructure and connected places

Paying for infrastructure and affordable housing

- Ask that the Infrastructure Levy is **not** used to provide affordable housing, rather:
- S106 should be retained
- Infrastructure Levy rate should be set locally by LAs
- Affordable housing should be exempt from Infrastructure Levy
- Clarity is needed on thresholds, payment of upfront infrastructure costs, etc.
- Highlight the impact second homes have on house prices and supply

